

**ORIGINAL ARTICLE****COMPARING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PLAIN CREPE DRESSING VERSUS MgSO<sub>4</sub> DRESSING IN PATIENTS WITH CELLULITIS**Humna Siraj<sup>1\*</sup>, Ayesha Saeed<sup>2</sup>, Sharmeen Khan<sup>3</sup>, Ahsan Ali Mirza<sup>4</sup>, Kashif Jamil<sup>5</sup>**ABSTRACT**

**Objectives:** To compare the efficacy of plain crepe dressing versus MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing in patients with cellulitis.

**Methods:** This Randomized Controlled Trial (RCT) was carried out in the General Surgery Department of PAEC General Hospital, Islamabad. Around 60 patients having cellulitis were enlisted in the study. Patients were divided in two groups by block randomization, group A (crepe dressing) group B (MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing). Efficacy was determined in terms of symptoms resolution after seven days of dressing.

**Results:** Total 60 patients, 30 in each group were enlisted in the study including 36 (60%) male and 24 (40%) female. The mean ages of the patients were 39.53 +/- 11.22 years and 41.17 +/- 13.17 years in group A and B respectively (p-vale > 0.05). The efficacy was 30.0% in group and 63.3% in group B with (p-value 0.010).

**Conclusion:** The study deduced that MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing is more effective than plain crepe dressing in treating patients with cellulitis. This finding has important implications for clinical practice, as it suggests that MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing may be a more appropriate treatment option for patients with this condition.

**Keywords:** Cellulitis, Crepe dressing, Magnesium sulphate dressing, Bacterial infection

**Cite this article as:** Siraj H, Saeed A, Khan S, Mirza AA, Jamil K. Comparing the Effectiveness of plain Crepe Dressing Versus MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing in patients with cellulitis. Baqai J Health Sci. 2024;25(1): 31-35

1\*,3 Postgraduate Resident General Surgery, Pakistan Atomic Energy Commission General Hospital, Islamabad

2,4,5 Consultant General Surgeon, Pakistan Atomic Energy Commission General Hospital, Islamabad

Date of Submission: Apr 18, 2024

Date of Acceptance: May 29, 2024

Date of Online Publication: May 31, 2024

**INTRODUCTION**

Cellulitis commonly pans out as an ill-defined area with calor, rubor associated swelling and dolor on touch. It is an acute bacterial infection that leads to inflammation of the deep dermis and nearby tissue that lies beneath the skin [1]. The infection does not have any pus accumulation or discharge. Infection caused by Beta-hemolytic streptococci mostly group A streptococcus (i.e., S. Pyogenes), followed by

methicillin-sensitive Staph Aureus leads to cellulitis [2]. Patients with low immunity who are inhabited with methicillin-resistant Staph Aureus, mouthed by animals, or have multiple chronic conditions such as type 2 diabetes can catch and get affected with other microorganisms [3]. The skin acts as shield that obviate resident skin flora and other harmful microorganisms from reaching the subcutaneous tissue and lymphatic system. When there is a crack or disruption in the skin, the resident skin flora and other microbial pathogens gets entry into the deeper tissues of skin and its nearby area causing cellulitis [4]. Risk factors for cellulitis include any factor that could breach the skin barrier such as any trauma causing skin injury, surgical incisions, cannula site punctures, skin tearing or cracks between toes, insect or animal bites, and other skin infections [5]. Patients with comorbidities such as mainly type 2 diabetes mellitus, peripheral arterial disease, lymphedema, venous insufficiency are more prone of getting

cellulitis [6].

Magnesium Sulfate solution is commonly being used as a soaking agent for painful joints, strains, and sprains, etc. [7]. The primary mechanism of action of Magnesium sulfate is by creating an osmotic gradient; means the numbers of electrolytes are in the dressing than inside the edematous tissue, so water has to be drawn into dressing from the tissue to maintain the equilibrium [8]. In this way it decreases the swelling of limb caused by cellulitis. It is also seen that magnesium reduces the inflammation of the tissue; it improves functioning of nerve and muscle and helps to obviate arterosclerosis [9]. Sulfates speedily absorb the nutrients into the cells and clean the toxin from the body effectively [8]. In a study by Singh, et al. has shown that efficacy of MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing was 17.6% as compare to 5.1% with plain crepe dressing in cellulitis patients [9]. In another study by Suhas, et al. has shown the efficacy of MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing was 3.2% as compare to 42.9% with plain crepe dressing in patients with cellulitis [10]. There is no similar study that has been done before in our general population. There is also shortage of data on this topic in international literature. Due to variability of result in this regard it is difficult to imply this data on our general population as one study claim effectiveness of MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing [9] while other shows good response to plain crepe dressing in cellulitis patients [10]. This study was chosen and done with the aim to compare the effectiveness of plain crepe dressing and MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing in patients with cellulitis. Analysis of our study will help to select better and effective mode of treatment in managing the patients with cellulitis.

## METHODOLOGY

It was a Randomized Controlled Trial (RCT), conducted in General Surgery Department of PAEC General Hospital, Islamabad. The duration of study was from July /2021 to Jan 2022. Sample size was estimated by WHO calculator with level of significance = 5%, Power = 80%. Using expected efficacy of MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing was 3.2% as compare to 42.9% with plain crepe dressing in patients with

cellulitis.<sup>10</sup> Sample size= 34 but we used 60 sample size (30 in each group), by non-probability consecutive sampling technique. Ethical committee approval was taken by all members of committee of PAEC hospital, prior to initiation of research. The inclusion criteria were age 20 to 60 years. Both genders will be included. Cellulitis as per operational definition for duration of more than a week while the exclusion criteria include H/o hypertension, H/o diabetes mellitus and H/o acute or chronic renal diseases. The aforementioned comorbidities can act as lurking variables and if included will influence the study results.

Patients fulfilling the study criteria from General Surgery Department of PAEC General Hospital Islamabad were included in the study after seeking consent from ethical review board committee. At study entry baseline demographics (Age, gender and duration of complaint) were recorded. Randomization was performed by block randomization. 30 sample sizes for plain crepe dressing group (Group A) while 30 sample sizes for MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing group (Group B). In group A, after cleaning the area of cellulitis with betadine solution, the inflamed area was covered by plain crepe, soaked with normal saline, bandage applied over it and patient was advised rest with the elevation of the affected area.

## RESULTS

Out of total 60 patients, 30 in each group were enlisted in the research including 36 (60%) male and 24 (40%) female. In the Group A (crepe dressing group), 17 (56.7%) were male and 13 (43.3%) were female whereas in Group B (MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing group), 19 (63.3%) were male and 11 (36.7%) were female (p-value > 0.05). The mean age of the patients in group A was 39.53 +/- 11.22 years whereas the mean age in group B was 41.17 +/- 13.17 years (p-value > 0.05). We categorized patients into four age groups. In group A, 7(23.3%) patients were < 30 years old, 12 (40.0%) were in 31-40 years age group, 5(16.7%) were in 41-50 years age group and 6(20.0%) were in 51-60 years age group.

Similarly In group B, 9 (26.7%) patients were < 30 years old, 8 (26.7%) were in 31-40 years age group, 4 (13.3%) were in 41-50 years age group and 9 (30.0%) were in 51-60 years age group (p-value > 0.05) as shown in table 1 .

**Table 1: Comparison of Gender Between the Groups**

Gender	Groups		P-value
N	30		
	Crepe dressing	MgSO4 dressing	
Male	17(56.7%)	19(63.3%)	0.598
Female	13(43.3%)	11(36.7%)	
Age (years)	39.53 ± 11.22	41.17 ± 13.17	0.607
< 30 years	7(23.3%)	9(30.0%)	
31-40 years	12(40.0%)	8(26.7%)	
41-50 years	5(16.7%)	4(13.3%)	
51-60 years	6(20.0%)	9(30.0%)	0.623

In group A, the duration of symptoms was 2 weeks in 17 (56.7%) of the patients and 3 weeks in 13 (43.3%) of the patients whereas in group B, the duration was 2 weeks in 14 (46.7%) of the patients and 3 weeks in 16 (53.3%) of the patients (p-value > 0.05).

The efficacy was 30.0% (9/30 patients) in group A and 63.3% (19/30 patients) in group B. The difference in efficacies between the groups was statistically significant with a p-value of 0.010.

**Table 4: Comparison of Symptoms Duration in the Groups**

Variables	Groups		P-value
	Crepe dressing	MgSO4 dressing	
Symptoms	2 weeks	17(56.7%)	14(46.7%)
duration	3 weeks	13(43.3%)	16(53.3%)

## DISCUSSION

Cellulitis is a common bacterial skin infection that requires prompt and effective treatment to prevent complications [11]. The use of appropriate wound

dressings is an important aspect of managing cellulitis [12]. We performed this research to compare the effectiveness of plain crepe dressing vs MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing in treating patients with cellulitis. We found

no significant differences between the two groups with respect to patient demographics, including age and gender. The mean age of patients was similar in both groups, and the distribution of age groups was comparable. The duration of symptoms was also similar in both groups, with most patients experiencing symptoms for two or three weeks. Our primary finding was there is a substantial difference in effectiveness between the two dressings. The MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing was found to be significantly more effective than plain crepe dressing, with a difference of 33.3%. This finding is clinically significant and suggests that MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing may be a more appropriate option for managing cellulitis in patients. The results of our study are persistent with the study of Singh, et al. in which the efficacy of MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing was 17.6% as compared to 5.1% with plain crepe dressing in patients with cellulitis [9]. However our study contradicts the results of study by Suhas, et al. which has shown that efficacy of MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing was 3.2% as compared to 42.9% with plain crepe dressing in patients with cellulitis [10].

The basic mechanism of MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing is that it has more electrolytes than present in the swollen tissue, due to the creation of an osmotic gradient, which causes water to be pulled into the dressing even when electrolyte equilibrium may be approached. That is how the edema is diminished. Magnesium has been demonstrated to lessen inflammation, enhance nerve and muscle function, and assist preventing arteriosclerosis. Sulphates effectively cleanse the body and hasten the uptake of nutrients into cells [8].

When we stratified efficacy by age and duration of symptoms, we found no significant differences between the two groups. However, when we stratified efficacy by gender, we found a significant difference in group B. The other studies mentioned in literature failed to demonstrate this finding [9,10]. This finding suggests that gender may play a role in the efficacy of MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing, but further research is needed to explore this potential relationship.

There are many constraints to our study that should

be addressed. First, the sample size was comparatively small, which may restrict the general applicability of our findings. Second, there may have been some selection bias in the recruitment of patients, which could have influenced the results. Third, we did not assess the potential risks or benefits of each dressing option, which may also be important considerations in clinical practice.

## CONCLUSION

The study concluded that MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing is more effective than plain crepe dressing in treating patients with cellulitis. This finding has important implications for clinical practice, as it suggests that MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing may be a more appropriate treatment option for patients with this condition. For future research, it may be beneficial to investigate the mechanisms underlying the superior efficacy of MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing, as well as to explore the potential benefits of combining MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing with other treatment modalities. Additionally, further studies could examine the side effects and long-term outcomes of patients treated with MgSO<sub>4</sub> dressing, in order to determine whether its benefits are sustained over time.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declared no conflict of interest.

**Acknowledgment:** None

**Funding Source:** None

**Author's Contribution:**

HS: Concept & design, statistical analysis, data collection and manuscript writing, final approval of manuscript

AS: Concept & design, final approval of manuscript, responsible for integrity of research

SK: Concept & design, drafting and data collection

AAM: Concept & design, drafting, responsible for integrity of research

KJ: Concept & design, data collection, responsible for integrity of research

## REFERENCES

1. Sullivan, Tadhg, Eoghan De Barra. "Diagnosis and management of cellulitis." *Clinical Medicine*. 2018;18(2):160–163. DOI: <https://doi.org/>

10.7861/clinmedicine.18-2-160.

2. Raff, Adam B, Daniela K. "Cellulitis." *JAMA*. 2016;316(3):325-329. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.8825>.
3. "Cellulitis: Current Insights into Pathophysiology and Clinical Management." *NJM*. Available at: [njmonline.nl/article\\_ft.php?a=1907&d=1260&i=210](http://njmonline.nl/article_ft.php?a=1907&d=1260&i=210). [Last accessed on May 9, 2024].
4. Chen YE, Fischbach MA, Belkaid Y. Skin microbiota–host interactions. *Natur*. 2018;553(7689):427-36. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25177>.
5. Quirke M, Ayoub F, McCabe A, Boland F, Smith B, O'Sullivan R, et al. Risk factors for non-purulent leg cellulitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Brit J Dermatol*. 2017;177(2):382-94. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjd.15186>.
6. Kaye KS, Petty LA, Shorr AF, Zilberberg MD. Current epidemiology, etiology, and burden of acute skin infections in the United States. *Clinic Infectious Dis*. 2019;68(3):193-199. Website link: [academic.oup.com/cid/article/68/Supplement\\_3/S193/5428806](http://academic.oup.com/cid/article/68/Supplement_3/S193/5428806).
7. Gröber U, Werner T, Vormann J, Kisters K. Myth or reality—transdermal magnesium?. *Nutrients*. 2017;9(8):813-817. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9080813>.
8. "Magnesium Sulfate." National Center for Biotechnology Information. PubChem Compound Database, U.S. National Library of Medicine, Available at: [pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/24083](https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/24083). [Last accessed on 16 March 2024].
9. Singh R, Sreenivasa P. Comparison between dressing of cellulitis with normal saline and Magnesium sulphate. *Int Surg J*. 2018;5(4):1255-1258. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18203/2349-2902.isj20180989>.
10. Suhas, Kondireddy. Comparison between dressing of cellulitis with glycerin and magnesium sulfate versus normal saline. *Int J Adv Res*. 2019;7(2):413–416. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2147/ijar01/8504>.
11. Dauendorffer JN, Janier M, Bagot M, Fouéré S. *Haemophilus parainfluenzae*-related cellulitis of the penis following tearing of a short frenulum. In *Annales de Dermatologie et de Vénéréologie*. Elsevier Masson. 2020;147(5):370-372. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annder.2019.08.022>.
12. Eplasty – Eplasty. Available at: [www.eplasty.com/index.php?option=com\\_content](http://www.eplasty.com/index.php?option=com_content). [Last accessed on May 16, 2024].

### STATEMENT OF CHAT GPT (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

3. If AI was used for data collection analysis or figures and generation authors should describe this use in the methods. Chatbots (such as ChatGPT) should not be listed as authors, because they cannot be responsible for the accuracy, integrity, and originality of the word and these responsibilities are required for authorship.
4. Therefore authors are responsible for any submitted material that included the use of AI assisted technologies.
5. Authors should carefully review and add the result because AI can generate authoritative sounding output that can be incorrect, incomplete or biased.
6. Authors should not list AI and AI-assisted technologies as an author or co-author nor cite AI as an author.
7. Author should be able to assert that there is no plagiarism in their paper including in text and images produced by the AI.
8. Humans must ensure there is appropriate attribution of all quoted material, including full citations.

(Ref: [https://www.icmje.org/news-and-editorials/icmje-recommendations\\_annotated\\_jan24.pdf](https://www.icmje.org/news-and-editorials/icmje-recommendations_annotated_jan24.pdf))